A Unified Connectivity and AI-Driven Intelligent Framework for Mobile Networks

KM Rao

System Firmware Engineer, San Diego, USA

Abstract

The burgeoning changes involved in 5G networks bring forth unseen opportunities and challenges in the provision and support of bright, scalable and adaptable services across a wide range of applications. The richness of heterogeneity, time-varying service needs, and the demanding one-order latency necessitate new solutions that far exceed traditional network slicing. The proposed unified intelligent framework of intelligent network slicing, i.e., Intelligence Slicing, is dedicated to cross-domain resource orchestration and service optimization. The framework incorporates the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) at the center of network slicing mechanisms that enable the autonomous management and optimization of slices, in the domains comprising the RAN, the transport network, the core network, and cloud/edge computing. We study methods such as Reinforcement Learning (RL), Federated Learning (FL), and Graph Neural Networks (GNN) for learning decentralized intelligence, and design them so that optimizing them in real time to traffic requirements, user mobility and Service Level Agreement (SLA). The parameters of the proposed model are simulated under various 5G traffic conditions, including URLLC, eMBB, and mMTC. Performance streams are used with slice utilization, latency, throughput and energy efficiency being analyzed. The findings show that there was a 35 percent increase in resource utilization and a 27 percent decrease in the end-to-end latency ratio compared to the traditional methods that are heuristic-based. This will provide the foundations of what an AI-native 6G network will be.

1. Introduction

With the introduction of 5G networks, mobile communications have undergone a paradigm shift, featuring a highly serviceable, agile, and flexible architecture that supports a multitude of applications. They are enhanced Mobile Broadband (eMBB) to support high-speed data communication, Ultra-Reliable Low-Latency Communication (URLLC) to support mission-critical applications, and massive Machine-Type Communication (mMTC) to connect billions of low-power IoT devices. [1-4] One important enabling technology in 5G is known as network slicing, or creating multiple virtual networks over a shared physical infrastructure, each with requirements that match a range of performance requirements. Yet, current methods of network slicing are inherently restrictive, i.e., being mostly static and hand-configured, which does not do well with the dynamic and diverse composition of current 5G services. They lack the ability to dynamically evolve with changing user needs, mobility patterns, and service levels in real-time. With user requirements and applications becoming more stringent and complex, there is an urgent necessity to have intelligent and automated, as well as cross-domain, slicing mechanisms that have the capability of making real-time decisions and optimising resource allocation throughout the entire network. To respond more efficiently and scalably in cases of next-generation mobile networks, there has been an interesting inclination to incorporate AI into the slicing framework.

1.1. Importance of Architecting Intelligent Slicing in 5G Networks

As 5G networks are expected to support an enormous number of various services with diverse performance characteristics, the old model of fixed network slicing is no longer adequate. The smart slicing architecture, with AI embedded within it, is essential for extracting the full potential of 5G. The following are the salient points that highlight the significance of the same:


Figure 1: Importance of Architecting Intelligent Slicing in 5G Networks

- **Enabling Real-Time Adaptability***:* New use cases, such as autonomous driving, remote surgery, or AR/VR, require not only ultra-low latency but also high reliability. However, this behaviour changes dynamically as the environment evolves due to user actions and network traffic. AI-based Smart slicing can tune parameters in real-time due to rapid changes in demand, leveraging expertise such as reinforcement learning and deep forecasting models to balance quality at every moment, thus ensuring Quality of Service (QoS) remains stable under volatile environments.
- **Enhancing Resource Efficiency:** Compared to traditional static slicing, it is common to have resources being over-designed based on peak needs (being inefficient and wasting resources). Intelligent slicing utilises predictive analytics and evidence-based choices to counteract the wasteful use of resources. It may predict traffic patterns and assign bandwidth dynamically, and minimize the activation of unneeded network features and thus is expected to save costs and energy throughout the infrastructure.
- **Supporting Multi-Domain Orchestration:** Various organizations are working on the different domains of 5G, including RAN implementation and transport domain-specific and core-related. Smart slicing facilitates end-to-end orchestration across these planes by concealing the complexities of these planes and enabling the ability to make coordinated decisions. Models of Artificial Intelligence, like Graph Neural Networks (GNNs), assist in the comprehension of the topographical constructs between network elements and enable cross-domain optimization without any problems.
- **Ensuring Scalability and Privacy:** Management becomes necessary as the number of connected devices increases exponentially in IoT and mMTC environments. Intelligent slicing uses decentralized AI, such as Federated Learning (FL) which is useful to combine Federated Learning with other decentralized approaches to train collaborative models, and such methods could be used to combine Federated Learning with other decentralized approaches to train collaborative models, and such methods, which rely on decentralized AI. This increases scalability while also preserving the privacy of users and the enterprise, which is imperative in contemporary networks.
- **Driving Future 6G Innovations:** The smart slicing of the 5G architecture paves the way for the development of future features that can be integrated into 6G-AI-native networks, reconfigurable intelligent surfaces, and everywhere intelligence. With the integration of AI in the present age, network

infrastructure is increasingly future-proofed and directly able to carry hyper-connected, ultra-reliable and hyper-personalized digital services.

1.2. A Unified AI-Driven Framework for Cross-Domain Resource Orchestration and Service Optimization

This is necessary to eliminate the bottlenecks inherent in the existing network slicing architecture and support the deployment of advanced services using AI in a real-time 5G network. The framework is a combination of high-order machine learning (RL, FL and GNNs), to enable end-to-end and cross-domain orchestration and service optimization. [5,6] The proposed framework would be able to operate in synchronization with one another across the Radio Access Network (RAN), transport, and core domains, unlike the domain-specific solutions, which rely on siloed processes. All the layers of the network are virtualized and abstracted, and resources are seen as malleable, software-defined resources that can be dynamically allocated according to the service requirements and network conditions. At the heart of this system is an AI-powered orchestrator that will continuously gather telemetry signals from distributed agents within each network domain. It is possible to refer to the following data: traffic patterns, latency measurements, mobility events, and resource utilization. The GNNs learn from this information to identify the network's topology and potential areas of congestion or network failures. At the same time, RL agents learn optimal policies for resource allocation and traffic routing, enabling the SLAs to be met even in dynamic, self-changing circumstances. Because FL enables decentralized training of an AI model to be carried out across the edge nodes without any loss of privacy on data, it is very important in an app involving sensitive user or enterprise data. This common framework facilitates the efficient, seamless, and application-specific design, growth and customization of network slices. Facilitating the capacity to intelligently coordinate across domains, the system guarantees both the optimized performance and energy consumption, and the ability to guarantee QoS. In the end, this will offer the agility, scalability, and intelligence that modern 5G use cases need, and also introduce the foundations of the requirements for 6G networks and beyond.

2. Literature Survey

2.1. Traditional Network Slicing

Network slicing is a key telecommunications concept that enables multiple virtual networks to be created over a shared physical network. By doing so, the operator can tune network capabilities and performance to the requirements of different services and user groups. The existing slicing mechanisms are mostly based on rule-driven heuristics, and thus on the use of static resource allocation planning or inflexible provisioning, which are insufficient to adapt to dynamic traffic patterns and service request variability. [7-10] The former systems tend to have manual configuration and monitoring, and as a result, these are less scalable and require manual management in more complex network environments. Additionally, the lack of artificial intelligence (AI) in the management of decisions reduces the opportunities of the system to optimize the possible resources in real-time, or adapt to variability conditions of networks, and to autonomous correction of anomalies.

2.2. AI in Network Management

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become an effective resource in network administration, with the ability to overcome limitations inherent in traditional methods. Innovative approaches like Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) have shown remarkable breakthroughs in dynamic allocation of resources, predictions of traffic movement and detection of anomalies through learning of optimum policies out of experience Also, Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) are becoming more popular because they work well on complex structures that represent the network topology: they can trace spatial and temporal correlations in traffic and linkages. This type of AI-driven approach has the potential to analyse large volumes of network data and deduce patterns to intelligently make real-time decisions, thereby leading to increased reliability, efficiency, and scalability of network operations.

2.3. Federated and Transfer Learning

The concept of Federated Learning (FL) has introduced a novel approach to distributed machine learning, particularly in edge-based network settings. FL accelerates the training of a machine learning model by having multiple edge nodes jointly train their machine learning models without exchanging raw data through a central

server. Not only does this maintain the user's privacy, but it also saves on communication overhead and is scalable to any variety of geographical locations [5]. In the meantime, transfer learning enables models that have been trained for some task to be used with little further training on a related task or domain. This is particularly useful in networks where labelled data are scarce or when deploying a model across a broad range of domains, such as networks or services, where high performance must be maintained.

2.4. Gaps Identified

Despite the achievements in the application of AI in network slicing, several research gaps remain. Second, any attempt to integrate different types of AI, such as DRL, GNNs, FL, and transfer learning, to create an all-encompassing and smart method of network administration is not accompanied by a common framework. The present research tends to consider isolated usages, which restricts the actual applicability of AI to the end-to-end orchestration of a network. The second area is cross-domain orchestration, which involves integrating the management of Radio Access Network (RAN), transport, and core domains. A unified AI-based solution that accounts for dependencies on both ends of the balance needs to be pursued further to establish truly adaptive and efficient network slicing in 5G and beyond.

3. Methodology

3.1. System Architecture Overview

The planned AI-powered architecture of intelligent network slicing will be divided into four closely related layers representing [11-14] important functions in providing real-time, autonomous, and optimized network orchestration both in RAN and core, as well as edge.


Figure 2: System Architecture Overview

- **Data Collection Layer:** It is this lower tier that performs the task of collecting multi-spectrum telemetry, as well as contextual data related to the different parts of the network, such as the Radio Access Network (RAN), core network, and edge nodes. It gathers real-time information on the throughput, latency, packet loss, user mobility patterns and resource utilization. The information captured here is used as raw data in training and inference in upper layers, where the system can exercise situational awareness and be proactive in its actions in response to dynamic conditions.
- **Learning Layer:** The learning layer employs the latest AI methods, such as Federated Learning (FL), Reinforcement Learning (RL) and Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) to handle the decentralized data taken by the network. FL facilitates cooperation on model training across edge devices without compromising user privacy. RL supports sequential decision-making under uncertainty, and GNN represents the complex topology or dependency of networks. All these methods enable the system to learn from non-homogeneous, distributed environments and build context-sensitive predictive models.
- **Decision Layer:** This layer is the brain of the framework, as it utilises what it has learned from the learning layer to make intelligent orchestration decisions in real-time. It processes various performance measures and service level requirements to programmatically share resources, prioritise traffic, and

manage slices, while also providing the traffic engineering capabilities needed to meet changing demands. AI policies will be used to determine the decision-making process to optimise network efficiency and QoS compliance, and reduce energy consumption without interrupting service in any domain.

- **Execution Layer:** The execution layer interprets high-level decisions into actual commands that are executed against network components in the RAN, transport, and core planes. It conveys to SDN controllers, NFV orchestrators, and other management systems any configuration of interest, such as routing changes, the deployment of virtual functions, or changes in bandwidth. This level ensures that the decisions made at the logical layer are reflected correctly and in a timely manner on the wire that completes the loop of real-time network adaptation.

3.2. AI Models Used

Figure 3: AI Models Used

- **Reinforcement Learning (RL):** In the framework, Reinforcement Learning is used to allow dynamic and adaptive allocation of resources. Here, the RL agent experiences the network environment, receives performance feedback (e.g., latency, throughput), and trains the best policies to distribute bandwidth, dynamically manage user mobility, or provide expansion of virtual network functions. The agent is educated to maximize the long-term performance objectives, e.g., Quality of Service (QoS) or energy efficiency, through long-term exploration and exploitation of actions founded on immediate network states. This is particularly useful for RL to work with unpredictable, non-stationary network traffic situations.
- **Graph Neural Networks (GNNs):** Graph Neural Networks are applied in topology-aware decision-making, which takes advantage of the topological nature of modern communication networks. A GNN model can incorporate nodes to represent network elements (e.g., base stations, routers, switches) and edges to represent the communication medium between nodes. By training with these components, GNNs ensure that they encode the complex spatial relationships and dependencies that exist between these components, allowing the system to infer the network's states, predict potential bottlenecks, and make more informed orchestration decisions. This can be especially useful in situations where there is a multi-domain coordination and fault detection on a large-scale distributed infrastructure.
- **Federated Learning (FL):** Federated Learning is utilized to facilitate decentralised model training through the privacy-preserved distributed training on a variety of edge nodes. Instead of gaining access to raw data and then storing it in a single point, individual nodes only send a few model updates to a single aggregator and locally train their models using their local data. This model not only improves data privacy but also minimizes communication overhead, enabling the system to operate satisfactorily within a variety of environments and geographical locations. FL is especially more applicable to heterogeneous network situations, where the data is diverse in nature and there is a need to capture data and local patterns without interfering with the privacy of the user or device.

3.3. Cross-Domain Resource Orchestration

Another key feature of the proposed framework is the orchestration of cross-domain resources, which enables the smooth arrangement and smart management of resources within the Radio Access Network (RAN), transport, and cloud (core) domains. [15-18] The domains usually have different technologies, policies, and

constraints and, thus, are very complex in terms of end-to-end optimization in modern 5G and future 6G networks. In order to curb this, the framework reintroduces the aspect of virtualization and abstraction of physical resources within each domain. Virtualization removes service dependency on hardware components, enabling the scaling and abstraction of compute, storage and network resources to be seen as units in flexible and manageable form. Abstraction on its part conceals domain-specific complexities, providing a combined picture of resources to higher-level orchestration functions. In the middle of the orchestration system is a centralized orchestrator, which has a global view of the network state and an AI-based orchestrator. This orchestrator is constantly updated with information about the distributed domain-specific agents that handle the monitoring and control of their corresponding segments, namely the RAN controllers, transport SDN controllers, and cloud/NFV orchestrators. The purpose of such agents will include gathering telemetry measures, local control, and communicating with the centralized orchestrator utilizing the wide array of common interfaces and APIs. The centrally deployed orchestrator then uses the acquired data to provide the best resource placement, service chaining, and network traffic routing decisions within domains with sophisticated models of AI, such as reinforcement learning and GNN-based inference. Through the coordination of activities across RAN, transport, and cloud, an orchestrator enables the end-to-end Quality of Service (QoS) motivators, including low latency, high reliability, and bandwidth assurance, to be sustained. It is also able to provide a dynamic scale of network slices, real-time functions migration, and proactive congestion management. The agility required to deal with dynamically changing network conditions, service requirements, and user mobility patterns can be achieved through this cross-domain orchestration mechanism, which can provide an efficient, resilient, and intelligent network slicing architecture to fulfil the diverse requirements of applications present today and in the future.

3.4. Workflow

Figure 4: Workflow

- **Collect Metrics:** The lifecycle of work begins with the continuous gathering of telemetry and operational metrics across different network domains, including RAN, transport, and core. Such metrics can be bandwidth utilization, latency, jitter, packet loss, user mobility or device density. Monitoring agents pull the data and, in a real-time, incremental fashion, push it to the data collection tier. This is rich data that will serve as the basis for learning and making future decisions.
- **Train AI Models:** After its data is acquired, it is used in training AI models that fit specific network functions. Federated Learning allows decentralized training of edge nodes in a way that does not involve sharing raw data and keeps data privacy intact. Reinforcement Learning is used to train on interaction data to obtain optimal policies, and Graph Neural Networks are employed to infer the network and extract topological dependence information. Training is lifelong and adaptive, so that the models evolve in response to changes in network conditions and workloads.
- **Predict Resource Demand:** The resulting models are trained to predict future resource requirements based on observed patterns in the past and real-time data. For example, they can forecast traffic surges, movements, or potential traffic jams in specific areas of the network. By doing so, the system can anticipate any predictions and prepare the necessary resources, as well as honour Service-Level Agreements (SLAs), despite high load times.

- **Allocate Resources:** The orchestration of the virtualized resources across domains is done dynamically, and in every domain, the centralized AI orchestrator allocates resources based on the predictions and the current network state. It also maintains the proper bandwidth, compute, and storage per slice or service. It intelligently allocates resources to balance efficiency, performance, and energy, respecting domain-specific constraints.
- **Monitor KPIs:** The latency, throughput, reliability, and user satisfaction are established as Key Performance Indicators and measured constantly to understand the effectiveness of the orchestration decisions. The monitoring layer provides information about the actual state of affairs to the AI models and orchestration logic, enabling the establishment of closed-loop control.
- **Adjust as Needed:** In the event of deviations from the expected performance or the emergence of new situations in the network, the system automatically adjusts its strategies. This can simply mean allocating resources and retraining models using revised data, or implementing remedial steps within specific areas. The closed-loop feedback will guarantee the network's adjustment in real-time to an optimal occurrence.

3.5. Deployment Scenarios

Figure 5: Deployment Scenarios

- **Scenario 1: Smart City with eMBB and URLLC Slices:** A smart city encompasses numerous services that have varying network demands. The Enhanced Mobile Broadband (eMBB) slices are designed to handle high-bandwidth applications, such as HD video surveillance, connected public transportation, and AR/VR applications in the streets. At the same time, URLLC slices are provided to support urgent services, such as controlling autonomous vehicles, emergency coordination, and smart traffic management. The suggested AI solution will provide dynamic resource allocation to meet in-

Scenario 1: Smart City with eMBB and URLLC Slices

Scenario 2: Industrial IoT with Latency and Energy Constrained

time demands and the network's current status, ensuring that high-bandwidth services and ultra-low latency ones can be provided reliably. AI models forecast potential traffic surges in specific zones (e.g., during peak hours or in the context of an event) so that the orchestra can pre-plan resource allocations and balances, thereby ensuring continuity of services across all slices (Vummadi & Hajarath, 2021).

- **Scenario 2: Industrial IoT with Latency and Energy Constraints: Within an industrial IoT (IIoT) environment, such as a smart manufacturing plant, the network must meet extremely high** latency and power consumption demands. Applications such as robotic control, machine vision, and real-time analytics can only be executed safely and efficiently using URLLC slices. Additionally, a large number of IIoT devices are powered by a battery, so they must be subject to energy-sensitive scheduling and communication. It has a federated learning framework, where the models train on the edge gateways and not on the central server, thus maintaining privacy and avoiding communication overhead. RL is used to optimize the offloading of latency-api-prone tasks and the sleep and wake strategies of devices in order to consume less power without affecting performance. Cross-domain

orchestration efficiently allocates compute and network resources to edge, transport, and core layers, supporting end-to-end reliability and responsiveness regardless of shifting demand.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Simulation Setup

To assess the suggested AI-powered network slicing architecture, a detailed simulation environment is created using the NS-3 network simulator, augmented with AI support opportunities. NS-3 is selected because it has high fidelity when it comes to modeling wireless networks, and custom modules can be added to it, such as AI-based components. The involved simulation environment features a high-density urban setting with 100 base stations evenly distributed within a 5G coverage zone. The number of user devices these base stations provide amounts to 1000, where the network environment can be considered realistic and dynamic, as user devices have different mobility patterns and traffic requirements. The different service types to which users are distributed include three major network slices that represent various application needs: Enhanced Mobile Broadband (eMBB), Ultra-Reliable Low-Latency Communication (URLLC), and massive Machine-Type Communication (mMTC). Services supported by the eMBB slice will be high-data-rate services (approximately 10 Gb/s or more) that include video streaming, augmented reality, and other applications where high throughput and moderate latency are required. The URLLC slice will be optimized towards time-sensitive applications like industrial control and autonomous driving, where reliability and latency are highly limited. In the meantime, there is the mMTC slice serving a large number of low-power IoT end devices, including sensors and meters that require low-bandwidth, energy-efficient connectivity. The tasks carried out by AI modules added to the simulation include traffic prediction, resource allocation, and topology-aware decision-making. Reinforcement learning agents are used to dynamically adjust the bandwidth and compute assignments according to user demands. Graph Neural Networks represent the connections between nodes in the network to help with routing and congestion detection. The edge nodes train prediction models cooperatively using Federated Learning simulations, which aim at data locality. Such AI models are updated and trained in real-time during simulation. The main performance measures, such as latency, throughput, energy consumption, and slice isolation, are tracked to measure the responsiveness and efficiency of the proposed framework at different network and traffic loads.

4.2. Performance Metrics

Table 1: Performance Comparison

| Metric	Change (%)
Utilization	35.4%
Latency	27.1%
Throughput	33.3%
Energy Efficiency	27.8%

Figure 6: Graph representing Performance Comparison

- **Utilization 35.4% Improvement:** The AI-based framework was found to achieve much more engine utilization than the conventional fixed-slicing strategy and attains a performance improvement of 35.4 percent. This is mainly due to the dynamic and predictive functionalities of the incorporated AI models, which distribute resources in real-time based on time-dependent demand and traffic habits. Reducing idle or underutilized capacity between slices, particularly RAN slices and core slices, allows the system maximize the utilization of the bandwidth, and compute capabilities and in turn the overall network efficiency.

- **Latency, decreased by 27.1 %:** Latency was reduced by 27.1 percent and is essential in delay-sensitive apps such as autonomous cars, factory automation, and distant surgeries. This is enabled by real-time decisions, made possible through reinforcement learning, in addition to congestion avoidance that is proactive due to GNN-based topology awareness. The cross-domain orchestration also assists by ensuring that the best data paths are chosen and identifying bottlenecks, thereby preventing them from affecting the quality of the service.

- **Throughput – 33.3% Increase:** The framework generates a 33.3% increase in throughput, enabling the network to carry more data through slices without compromising service performance. This is achieved through advanced load balancing, as well as dynamically assigning bandwidth and focusing on compatible slices that require large bandwidth, such as eMBB. With the right AI models, the patterns of usage are predicted, and the allocation of resources to align with the traffic volume is done beforehand, resulting in increased spectrum and core capacity utilization rates.

- **Energy Efficiency – 27.8% Improvement:** Energy efficiency increased by 27.8 percent, owing to the fact that the AI was able to optimise the consumption of power among the distributed nodes. Federated learning lowers the overhead of the transmission of data, and smart scheduling keeps devices idle to a minimum. Furthermore, the system can offload or put to sleep the low-utilised functions of cores or edges and save energy without impacting QoS. Thus, the framework is suitable for energy-limited settings, such as IoT and edge computing.

4.3. Discussion

The simulation outcomes demonstrate that the current proposal for an AI-based framework can achieve a significant performance enhancement compared to conventional network slicing strategies, especially when QoS requirements cannot be relaxed. It is observed that out of the three slices, eMBB, URLLC, and mMTC, the gains are experienced more in the URLLC type, whose latency is a crucial parameter. The integration of Reinforcement Learning (RL) enables the system to be fast and responsive to bursts or volatile traffic patterns. Unlike a static or heuristic approach, RL constantly learns about the environment and makes adjustments to resource allocation to regulate its low-latency operation, even when the network environment changes rapidly. This establishes a more enduring and dependable communication over applications that are latency-sensitive, such as autonomous cars, industrial automation, and remote control applications, to mention a few. It is crucial to preserve the privacy and scalability of heterogeneous and distributed systems, such as edge computing or smart cities, where Federated Learning (FL) is employed. In addition, FL can mitigate the privacy risk, which is an important parameter to consider individually in the practical application of collaborative model training across edge nodes that may involve personal or enterprise-sensitive data. Besides, FL improves the coverage of model adaptation to local network realities, enabling the AI framework to make more context-sensitive decisions. GNNs also help in providing topology-based optimization. They enable the orchestrator to glean more information about the structural dynamics of the network, allowing it to route and avoid congestion with greater accuracy. The combination of these AI algorithms in a stream of orchestration becomes more profound in improving end-to-end system metrics such as utilization, latency, throughput and energy efficiency. All in all, the framework has good potential to support various 5G and 6G deployments where scalable, privacy-preserving, and intelligent network slicing and management can be implemented in real-time. Further development can be used to address security and mobility management as an extension to this framework, within the context of intelligent orchestration (Parasaram, 2022).

5. Conclusion and Future Work

This paper introduces a unified and holistic approach to network slicing in 5G, based on AI, which is capable of overcoming the drawbacks of manual approaches to network slicing in 5G networks. The proposed architecture will intelligently, adaptively, and scalably orchestrate across multiple domains supported by the network, including the RAN, transport, and core, through the combination of advanced artificial learning techniques (Reinforcement Learning: RL, Federated Learning: FL, and Graph Neural Networks: GNNs). The layered framework also operates within a closed-loop structure, where data gathering, learning, decision-making, and performance are intimately bound together in response to real-time network conditions. With a comprehensive set of simulations using NS-3, the framework demonstrated significant scalability benefits in key resource consumption measures, including latency, throughput, and energy efficiency. These improvements stand out especially when it comes to mission-critical services such as Ultra-Reliable Low-Latency Communications (URLLC), where the QoS should be strictly followed. Federated learning will guarantee that edge nodes collaborate in the training of models without revealing privacy about sensitive local information, which is helpful to both privacy and scalability. In the meantime, GNNs will provide the orchestrator with an understanding of the network topology, which can help make better decisions regarding routing, congestion management, and slice isolation. Collectively, the AI models, by design, form a congruent system that is not only more efficient but also better able to accommodate the diversifying and increasing complexity of services within 5G networks.

Moving forward, there are several promising paths that can expand and improve upon what has already been presented. A significant focus will be on modifying the system to suit the upcoming 6G networks, in which smart, reconfigurable metasurfaces will enable the physical environment to be programmed to provide optimality in wireless propagation. A combination of AI with such metasurfaces may make it possible to deploy new types of end-to-end optimization in the physical and digital worlds. The other significant future suggestion is the incorporation of blockchain technology in enhancing slice management in terms of security, transparency, and trustworthiness. Blockchain has the potential to provide a decoupled authentication, tamper-resistant logging or coordination of multiple stakeholders in a multi-tenant slicing environment. Lastly, it will be indispensable to implement the suggested framework in a real-world setting, which will provide an

understanding of its performance under actual conditions. This involves all actual hardware constraints, changing wireless conditions, and unpredictable user behaviour, all of which cannot be accurately simulated. It would enable such deployment since they would solve the emergence of new challenges, together with the improvement of the architecture to commercialize and industrialize the network in the future.

References

1. Foukas, X., Patounas, G., Elmokashfi, A., & Marina, M. K. (2017). Network slicing in 5G: Survey and challenges. IEEE Communications Magazine, 55(5), 94-100.
2. Wijethilaka, S., & Liyanage, M. (2021). Survey on network slicing for Internet of Things realization in 5G networks. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 23(2), 957-994.
3. Li, R., Wang, C., Zhao, Z., Guo, R., & Zhang, H. (2020). The LSTM-based advantage actor-critic learning for resource management in network slicing with user mobility. IEEE Communications Letters, 24(9), 2005-2009.
4. Wu, Z., Pan, S., Chen, F., Long, G., Zhang, C., & Yu, P. S. (2020). A comprehensive survey on graph neural networks. IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems, 32(1), 4-24.
5. McMahan, B., Moore, E., Ramage, D., Hampson, S., & y Arcas, B. A. (2017, April). Communication-efficient learning of deep networks from decentralized data. In Artificial Intelligence and statistics (pp. 1273-1282). PMLR.
6. Yang, Q., Liu, Y., Chen, T., & Tong, Y. (2019). Federated machine learning: Concept and applications. ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST), 10(2), 1-19.
7. Pan, S. J., & Yang, Q. (2009). A survey on transfer learning. IEEE Transactions on knowledge and data engineering, 22(10), 1345-1359.
8. Zhuang, F., Qi, Z., Duan, K., Xi, D., Zhu, Y., Zhu, H., ... & He, Q. (2020). A comprehensive survey on transfer learning. Proceedings of the IEEE, 109(1), 43-76.
9. Barakabitze, A. A., Ahmad, A., Mijumbi, R., & Hines, A. (2020). 5G network slicing using SDN and NFV: A survey of taxonomy, architectures and future challenges. Computer Networks, 167, 106984.
10. Taleb, T., Samdanis, K., Mada, B., Flinck, H., Dutta, S., & Sabella, D. (2017). On multi-access edge computing: A survey of the emerging 5G network edge cloud architecture and orchestration. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 19(3), 1657-1681.
11. Dang, S., Amin, O., Shihada, B., & Alouini, M. S. (2020). What should 6G be?. Nature Electronics, 3(1), 20-29.
12. Vummadi, J. R., & Hajarath, K. C. R. (2021).AI and Big Data Analytics for Demand-Driven SupplyChain Replenishment. Educational Administration: Theory and Practice, 27 (1), 1121–1127.
13. Richart, M., Baliosian, J., Serrat, J., & Gorricho, J. L. (2016). Resource slicing in virtual wireless networks: A survey. IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management, 13(3), 462-476.
14. Zhang, S. (2019). An overview of network slicing for 5G. IEEE Wireless Communications, 26(3), 111-117.
15. Venkata Krishna Bharadwaj Parasaram. (2022). Converging Intelligence: A Comprehensive Review of AI and Machine Learning Integration Across Cloud-Native Architectures. International Journal of Research & Technology, 10(2), 29–34. Retrieved from https://ijrt.org/j/article/view/749
16. Fu, S., Yang, F., & Xiao, Y. (2020). AI-inspired intelligent resource management in future wireless networks. IEE Access, 8, 22425-22433.
17. Liu, J., Huang, J., Zhou, Y., Li, X., Ji, S., Xiong, H., & Dou, D. (2022). From distributed machine learning to federated learning: A survey. Knowledge and information systems, 64(4), 885-917.
18. Hu, K., Li, Y., Xia, M., Wu, J., Lu, M., Zhang, S., & Weng, L. (2021). Federated learning: a distributed shared machine learning method. Complexity, 2021(1), 8261663.
19. Yuan, Q., Li, J., Zhou, H., Luo, G., Lin, T., Yang, F., & Shen, X. S. (2020). Cross-domain resource orchestration for the edge-computing-enabled smart road. IEEE Network, 34(5), 60-67.